

Effect of gravitational radiation reaction on circular orbits around a spinning black hole

Fintan D. Ryan

Theoretical Astrophysics, California Institute of Technology, Pasadena, California 91125

(February 7, 2008)

Abstract

The effect of gravitational radiation reaction on circular orbits around a spinning (Kerr) black hole is computed to leading order in S (the magnitude of the spin angular momentum of the hole) and in the strength of gravity M/r (where M is the mass of the black hole, r is the orbital radius, and $G = c = 1$). The radiation reaction makes the orbit shrink but leaves it circular, and drives the orbital plane very slowly toward antialignment with the spin of the hole: $\tan(\iota/2) = \tan(\iota_0/2)[1 + (61/72)(S/M^2)(M/r)^{3/2}]$, where ι is the angle between the normal to the orbital plane and the spin direction, and ι_0 is the initial value of ι , when r is very large.

PACS numbers: 04.25.Nx, 04.30.Db

Typeset using REVTeX

The earth-based LIGO/VIRGO network of gravitational wave detectors (which is now under construction) will be used to search for and study the gravitational waves from “particles”, such as neutron stars and small black holes, spiraling into massive black holes (mass M up to $\sim 300M_\odot$); and ESA’s planned space-based LISA [1] interferometer will do the same for inspiral into supermassive black holes (M up to $\sim 10^7 M_\odot$). To search for the inspiral waves and extract the information they carry will require templates based on theoretical calculations of the emitted waveforms; and to compute the waveforms requires a detailed understanding of how radiation reaction influences the orbital evolution.

For several years a stumbling block has impeded computations of the evolution, when the orbital plane of the particle is inclined to the equatorial plane of a spinning hole: No practical method has been developed to deduce how radiation reaction influences the evolution of the orbit’s “Carter constant” [2,3], which governs the orbital shape and inclination angle. This *Letter* describes the first progress on this problem: a “post-Newtonian” gravitational radiation reaction force is used to compute the full orbital evolution to first order in S , the magnitude of the spin angular momentum of the black hole, and leading order in the strength of gravity M/r at the orbital radius r . (Here and throughout, units with $G = c = 1$ are used.) The analysis is restricted to orbits that initially are “circular” (more precisely, orbits which have constant radius r —these orbits are circular in the “orbital plane” discussed below, but this plane precesses). However, the method can readily be extended to noncircular orbits [4] and (with considerably more difficulty) should be extendible to the fully relativistic regime $r \sim M$.

The computation of the evolution presented here proceeds as follows: First, in the absence of radiation reaction, the orbital motion and the associated constants of motion are reviewed. Then, the leading order radiation reaction accelerations that act on the orbiting particle and on the hole are derived and used to compute the radiation-reaction-induced evolution of the constants of motion. Finally, the evolution of the orbit—its shape and inclination angle—is obtained.

The leading order effect of the spin on the (otherwise Newtonian) orbit was deduced long

ago by Lense and Thirring [5] (reviewed by Landau and Lifshitz [6])—though, of course, they regarded the central body as a star rather than a black hole. In fact, our analysis does not require the body to be a black hole, but since this is the primary case of physical interest, the discussion is phrased in terms of a black hole.

Let spherical polar coordinates, r , θ , and ϕ , centered on the black hole, be used to describe the location of the particle (these coordinates describe the relative separation of the two bodies), with the hole's spin along the polar axis. The Lagrangian [7] for the motion of the particle (which, for now, does not have to be circular) is given, to linear order in S but otherwise in solely Newtonian theory, by

$$\mathcal{L} = \frac{\mu}{2} \left(\dot{r}^2 + r^2 \dot{\theta}^2 + r^2 \sin^2(\theta) \dot{\phi}^2 \right) + \frac{\mu M}{r} - \frac{2\mu S \sin^2 \theta}{r} \dot{\phi}, \quad (1)$$

where μ is the mass of the particle. In general, an overdot represents d/dt . The entire analysis is to leading order in μ . To leading order in S and in M/r , the motion resulting from this Lagrangian is the same as in the Kerr metric, which describes the gravitational field of a spinning hole. The use of flat space coordinates, which ignores M/r corrections, is adequate to leading order.

Following standard procedure [8], the Hamilton-Jacobi equation associated with the Lagrangian (1) can be shown to have a separation-of-variables (t, ϕ, r, θ) solution, which reveals three constants of motion:

$$E = \frac{\mu}{2} \left(\dot{r}^2 + r^2 \dot{\theta}^2 + r^2 \sin^2(\theta) \dot{\phi}^2 \right) - \frac{\mu M}{r}, \quad (2a)$$

$$L_z = \mu r^2 \sin^2(\theta) \dot{\phi} - \frac{2\mu S \sin^2 \theta}{r}, \quad (2b)$$

$$Q + L_z^2 = \mu^2 r^4 \left(\dot{\theta}^2 + \sin^2(\theta) \dot{\phi}^2 \right) - 4\mu^2 S r \sin^2(\theta) \dot{\phi}. \quad (2c)$$

Comparing these expressions to Eqs. (33.31) of Ref. [3], it is clear that E , L_z , and Q correspond, to leading order in S and in M/r , to the constants of motion for a test particle in the Kerr metric: the energy minus the test particle mass, the z -component (component along the spin axis of the hole) of angular momentum, and the Carter constant, respectively.

The analysis of radiation reaction, below, is in Cartesian coordinates, $x_1 = r \sin \theta \cos \phi$, $x_2 = r \sin \theta \sin \phi$, and $x_3 = r \cos \theta$. In these coordinates, the constants of motion (2) become (repeated indices are summed)

$$E = \frac{\mu}{2} \dot{x}_j \dot{x}_j - \frac{\mu M}{(x_j x_j)^{1/2}}, \quad (3a)$$

$$L_z = \mu \epsilon_{3jk} x_j \dot{x}_k - \frac{2\mu S (x_1^2 + x_2^2)}{(x_j x_j)^{3/2}}, \quad (3b)$$

$$Q + L_z^2 = \mu^2 \epsilon_{ijk} x_j \dot{x}_k \epsilon_{ilm} x_l \dot{x}_m - \frac{4\mu^2 S \epsilon_{3jk} x_j \dot{x}_k}{(x_m x_m)^{1/2}}. \quad (3c)$$

Let the orbital plane have inclination angle ι (restricted to $0 \leq \iota \leq \pi$, where $\iota > \pi/2$ corresponds to an orbit counter-rotating relative to the spin), defined as

$$\cos \iota \equiv \frac{L_z}{(Q + L_z^2)^{1/2}}. \quad (4)$$

The constants of motion admit orbits of constant radius, just as for the Kerr metric in Boyer-Lindquist coordinates. One of the Euler-Lagrange equations implies that, for r to be constant, $\partial \mathcal{L} / \partial r = 0$, which leads to

$$r = M v^{-2} \left[1 + 6(S/M^2) v^3 \cos \iota \right], \quad (5)$$

$$v \equiv \left(\frac{Q + L_z^2}{\mu^2 M^2} \right)^{-1/2}. \quad (6)$$

The positive root in any square-root is always chosen.

The following relationship between the constants of motion for circular orbits is easily derived:

$$E = -\frac{1}{2} \mu v^2 \left[1 - 4(S/M^2) v^3 \cos \iota \right]. \quad (7)$$

The other two Euler-Lagrange equations predict that $\theta(t)$ and $\phi(t)$ are the same as for a circular orbit in the case when $S = 0$, except that $\dot{\phi}$ is altered to $\dot{\phi} = \dot{\phi}|_{S=0} + 2S/r^3$. By transforming these angular motions to Cartesian coordinates, the following equations for the orbit are obtained:

$$x_1 = r \left[\cos(\Omega_\theta t) \cos\left(\frac{2St}{r^3}\right) \cos\iota - \sin(\Omega_\theta t) \sin\left(\frac{2St}{r^3}\right) \right], \quad (8a)$$

$$x_2 = r \left[\sin(\Omega_\theta t) \cos\left(\frac{2St}{r^3}\right) + \cos(\Omega_\theta t) \sin\left(\frac{2St}{r^3}\right) \cos\iota \right], \quad (8b)$$

$$x_3 = r \cos(\Omega_\theta t) \sin\iota, \quad (8c)$$

where the angular velocity in the x_3 direction is

$$\Omega_\theta \equiv M^{-1}v^3 \left[1 - 12(S/M^2)v^3 \cos\iota \right]. \quad (9)$$

These equations describe a circular orbit on a plane (the orbital plane, which is inclined at angle ι to the equatorial plane) which precesses around the spin axis of the black hole with angular velocity $2S/r^3$ (the Lense-Thirring precession). Because the particle's motion is the sum of the circular motion and the plane precession, the particle itself does not travel on a fixed plane, nor does it travel in a circle, nor does it cross the equatorial plane at angle ι , but rather at an angle ι' [9].

Turn, now, to the gravitational radiation reaction force (or acceleration) that slowly modifies the above orbital motion. The emitted waves and their associated radiation reaction can be expressed in terms of the multipole moments of the “system” (particle plus hole) [10,11]. In the absence of spin, $S = 0$, the reaction, at leading order in M/r , is due to the mass quadrupole moment I_{ij} of the system; when $S \neq 0$, the leading order influence of S on the reaction is due in part to the mass quadrupole moment I_{ij} and in part to the current quadrupole moment J_{ij} . The moments I_{ij} and J_{ij} are usually written as integrals over the mass and momentum densities of the source. For the black hole, however, this cannot be done, and even for a neutron star the standard integrals are invalid because they ignore the star's relativistic gravity. There is an alternative approach, however, that does work for this black-hole-plus-particle system: the moments are defined in terms of the weak, asymptotic gravitational fields far from the hole and particle. When this is done, all the standard formulas of the multipolar gravitational wave formalism remain valid [10].

The standard theory of the radiation reaction force (for example, Ref. [11]) is generally formulated in the center-of-mass Cartesian coordinate system $\{x'_j\}$, which differs from the

black-hole-centered coordinates $\{x_j\}$ used above. In the asymptotic, center-of-mass coordinates, the hole (or rather its asymptotically spherical gravitational field [10,12]) moves along the path $x'_k(t) = -(\mu/M)x_k(t)$ with its spin still pointing in the x_3 direction, and the particle moves along the path $x'_k(t) = [1 - (\mu/M)]x_k(t)$. Correspondingly, to leading order in μ/M , the mass and current quadrupole moments are

$$I_{ij} = [\mu x_i x_j]^{STF}, \quad (10a)$$

$$J_{ij} = \left[\mu x_i \epsilon_{jkm} x_k \dot{x}_m - \frac{3}{2}(\mu/M) x_i S \delta_{j3} \right]^{STF}. \quad (10b)$$

Here, STF means “symmetrize and remove the trace”, and $x_i = x_i(t)$ is the trajectory of the particle in the hole-centered coordinates, as given by Eqs. (8).

Eqs. (10) agree with the $\mu \ll M$ limit of the moments given in Kidder, Will, and Wiseman (Ref. [7], Eqs. (14a,c)). The first term of J_{ij} is the standard contribution due to the motion of the particle; the second term arises from the motion of the spin of the hole relative to the asymptotic, center-of-mass inertial frame: when the current dipole moment, due to the hole’s spin angular momentum $J_i = S \delta_{i3}$, is displaced from the system’s center of mass by $\delta x'_i = -(\mu/M)x_i$ due to the orbital motion, that displacement produces a current quadrupole moment $J_{ij} = [\frac{3}{2}\delta x'_i J_j]^{STF} = [\text{second term in expression (10b)}]$, as one can deduce from the asymptotic metric components by which the moments are defined: Eq. (11.1b) of Ref. [10].

Consider, for the moment, the radiation reaction force acting individually on the hole or on the particle. Let the object of interest (hole or particle) have a location x'_j and velocity $\dot{x}'_j$ in the asymptotic, center-of-mass coordinates. Then, for the moment ignoring the object’s spin, its radiation reaction acceleration (force divided by mass) is given by

$$a_j^{(react)} = -\frac{2}{5}I_{jk}^{(5)}x'_k + \frac{16}{45}\epsilon_{jpk}J_p^{(6)}x'_q x'_k + \frac{32}{45}\epsilon_{jpk}J_p^{(5)}x'_k \dot{x}'_q + \frac{32}{45}\epsilon_{pq[j}J_k^{(5)}x'_q \dot{x}'_k. \quad (11)$$

This can be derived from Eqs. (11) and (12) of Blanchet and Damour [11], keeping only the mass quadrupole and current quadrupole moment terms. Here, the brackets denote antisymmetrization: $C_{[jk]} \equiv (C_{jk} - C_{kj})/2$. The number in parentheses to the upper right of a multipole moment indicates taking that number of time derivatives.

The coupling of the object's spin angular momentum J_i to the radiation reaction field due to J_{ij} produces an additional radiation reaction acceleration,

$$a_j^{(react)}|_{spin} = -\frac{8}{15}J_{ij}^{(5)}J_i/m, \quad (12)$$

where m is the mass of the object. If the spinning object were nearly Newtonian, Eq. (12) could be derived by adding up the velocity dependent radiation reaction force [mass $\times$ Eq. (11)] on each bit of mass inside the source and then dividing by the total mass m of the object. For the black hole, such a procedure is invalid; however, the result (12) must still be true: The analysis of Thorne and Hartle [12], specifically their Eq. (1.9b), shows that the force on any isolated spinning object in a “gravitomagnetic field” (the type of radiation reaction field that is responsible for this force [11]) is the same as for a spinning mass with only weak self-gravity.

Since the constants of motion, E , L_z , and Q , are defined and expressed in terms of black-hole-centered coordinates rather than center-of-mass coordinates, their evolution must be computed using the radiation reaction acceleration of the particle relative to the black hole, i.e. the difference of the accelerations of the particle and the hole relative to the center-of-mass:

$$a_j \equiv a_j^{(react)}|_{particle} - a_j^{(react)}|_{black\ hole} \quad (13a)$$

$$= -\frac{2}{5}I_{jk}^{(5)}x_k + \frac{16}{45}\epsilon_{jpk}J_{pk}^{(6)}x_qx_k + \frac{32}{45}\epsilon_{jpk}J_{pk}^{(5)}x_k\dot{x}_q + \frac{32}{45}\epsilon_{pq[j}J_{k]p}^{(5)}x_q\dot{x}_k + \frac{8}{15}(S/M)J_{3j}^{(5)}. \quad (13b)$$

Here, the moments I_{ij} and J_{ij} are given by Eqs. (10). There is no contribution to (13b) (up to leading order in μ) from the acceleration on the hole except through the spin interaction (12), which gives the fifth term in Eq. (13b). For the J_{ij} in the contribution to the acceleration on the particle [the second, third, and fourth terms of Eq. (13b)], only the second term of Eq. (10b) needs to be kept: the contribution from the first term is not at leading order in M/r for either terms not involving S or terms involving S . Similarly, the J_{3j} in the fifth term of Eq. (13b) requires only the contribution from the first term of Eq. (10b).

By differentiating Eqs. (3) with respect to time and using the a_j of Eqs. (13) as the

radiation reaction contribution to $\ddot{x}_j$, we obtain the following evolution of the “constants” of motion:

$$\dot{E} = \mu \dot{x}_j a_j, \quad (14a)$$

$$\dot{L}_z = \mu \epsilon_{3jk} x_j a_k, \quad (14b)$$

$$Q + L_z^2 = 2\mu^2 \epsilon_{ijk} x_j \dot{x}_k \epsilon_{ilm} x_l a_m - \frac{4\mu^2 S \epsilon_{3jk} x_j a_k}{(x_m x_m)^{1/2}}. \quad (14c)$$

While Eqs. (10)–(14) are valid for noncircular orbits as well as circular orbits, we will treat only circular orbits here. Suppose that the orbit initially is circular, so the trajectory of the particle $x_k(t)$ in the hole-centered coordinates is given by Eqs. (8). Then, the evolution of its constants of motion, E , L_z , and $Q + L_z^2$, can be computed as follows. Insert the trajectory of the particle (8) into Eqs. (10) to get the I_{ij} and J_{ij} moments. Then, insert these moments and the trajectory of the particle into Eq. (13b) to get the relative radiation reaction acceleration. Insert this acceleration into Eqs. (14) for the time derivatives of E , L_z , and $Q + L_z^2$, and average the resulting expressions over an orbit. [The averaging, denoted by $\langle \rangle$, can be taken to involve times $-\pi/\Omega_\theta < t < +\pi/\Omega_\theta$, and because of this restriction to small t , for terms in the trajectory (8) that have argument $2St/r^3$, the cosine can be replaced by 1 and the sine can be replaced by its argument, thereby simplifying the calculation.] The result is [13]

$$\langle \dot{E} \rangle = -\frac{32}{5} \frac{\mu^2}{M^2} v^{10} \left(1 - \frac{433}{12} \frac{S v^3}{M^2} \cos \iota \right), \quad (15a)$$

$$\langle \dot{L}_z \rangle = -\frac{32}{5} \frac{\mu^2}{M} v^7 \left(\cos \iota + \frac{61 - 687 \cos^2 \iota}{24} \frac{S v^3}{M^2} \right), \quad (15b)$$

$$\langle \dot{Q} + \dot{L}_z^2 \rangle = -\frac{64}{5} \mu^3 v^6 \left(1 - \frac{313}{12} \frac{S v^3}{M^2} \cos \iota \right). \quad (15c)$$

Eqs. (15a) and (15b) agree (after trivial conversions of notation) with previous results (Ref. [14], Eqs. (3.13), (3.18) and Ref. [15], Eqs. (4.10), (4.11)), computed by alternative methods that cannot compute $\langle \dot{Q} + \dot{L}_z^2 \rangle$.

These time derivatives of the constants of motion have two major implications for the orbital evolution: First, they imply that the orbit, which was assumed initially circular,

remains circular; this can be seen from the fact that they preserve the circular-orbit relationship (7). Second, when combined with Eqs. (4), they imply the following evolution of the orbital plane's inclination angle:

$$\left\langle \frac{d\iota}{dt} \right\rangle = \frac{244}{15} \frac{\mu S}{M^4} v^{11} \sin \iota. \quad (16)$$

This equation implies that radiation reaction drives the orbital plane toward antialignment with the spin, as *might* be intuitively expected since that orientation minimizes the energy of spin-orbit coupling [16].

By combining Eq. (16) with the leading order change in r , $\dot{r} = -\frac{64}{5}(\mu/M)v^6$, the following differential equation relating r and ι is obtained:

$$\frac{d\iota}{-d \ln r} = \frac{61}{48} \frac{S}{M^2} \left(\frac{M}{r} \right)^{3/2} \sin \iota. \quad (17)$$

The time-averaging of the left hand side of Eq. (17) is not explicitly written down. Integrating Eq. (17) yields

$$\tan(\iota/2) = \tan(\iota_0/2) [1 + \frac{61}{72} (S/M^2) (M/r)^{3/2}], \quad (18)$$

where ι_0 is the value of the inclination angle when the orbit has large radius.

Eq. (18) shows, for example, that for an $S \lesssim M^2$ black hole, if the particle orbits with a small inclination angle ($0 \lesssim \iota \ll \pi$), then ι fractionally changes by 0.06 from its initial value at large radius to its value at $r \approx 6M$. The regime $r \lesssim 6M$ is of special interest; it is there that waves from the final stages of inspiral can give high-accuracy maps of the hole's spacetime geometry [17]. However, when $r \approx 6M$, our leading order analysis breaks down, so that to be able to map the hole's geometry, the analysis must be carried out to higher order in M/r and S .

The above analysis illustrates the power of the radiation reaction force method to reveal the detailed evolution of a system under radiation reaction. The case solved was sufficiently simple to give an easily presented solution. A future paper [4] will give more details of the above calculations, along with the generalization to eccentric orbits. Hopefully, future work

with radiation reaction forces will: (1) Generalize the analysis to an arbitrary mass ratio μ/M and the case of both masses having spin. (2) Extend the analysis to higher order in M/r and in S . (3) Achieve a similar calculation of the orbital evolution in the fully relativistic Kerr metric.

The author is grateful to Alan Wiseman and Kip Thorne for their advice. This work was supported by NSF grants AST-9114925 and AST-9417371, and by NASA grants NAGW-2897 and NAGW-4268.

REFERENCES

- [1] K. Danzmann, A. Rüdiger, R. Schilling, W. Winkler, J. Hough, G. P. Newton, D. Robertson, N. A. Robertson, H. Ward, P. Bender, J. Faller, D. Hils, R. Stebbins, C. D. Edwards, W. Folkner, M. Vincent, A. Bernard, B. Bertotti, A. Brillet, C. N. Man, M. Cruise, P. Gray, M. Sandford, R. W. P. Drever, V. Kose, M. Kühne, B. F. Schutz, R. Weiss, and H. Welling, *LISA: Proposal for a Laser-Interferometric Gravitational Wave Detector in Space* (Max-Planck-Institut für Quantenoptik, Garching bei München, Germany, 1993).
- [2] B. Carter, Phys. Rev. **174**, 1559 (1968).
- [3] C. W. Misner, K. S. Thorne, and J. A. Wheeler, *Gravitation* (Freeman, San Fransisco, 1973).
- [4] For brevity, our discussion is limited to circular orbits; the more complicated case of eccentric orbits is solved but deferred to a future paper: F.D. Ryan, in preparation.
- [5] J. Lense and H. Thirring, Phys. Z. **19**, 156 (1918). English translation in Gen. Rel. & Grav. **16**, 727 (1984).
- [6] L. D. Landau and E. M. Lifshitz, *The Classical Theory of Fields*, 4th rev. English ed. (Pergamon, Oxford, 1975).
- [7] L. E. Kidder, C. M. Will, and A. G. Wiseman, Phys. Rev. D **47**, R4183 (1993).
- [8] H. Goldstein, *Classical Mechanics* (Addison-Wesley, Reading, Massachusetts, 1950).
- [9] The angle ι' is defined as the angle between the Newtonian orbital angular momentum $L_{N_i} \equiv \mu \epsilon_{ijk} x_j \dot{x}_k$ and the spin axis, so that when the particle crosses the equator, it does so at angle ι' . However, neither L_{N_i} nor ι' is a constant of the motion. For circular orbits, ι' is given by $\cos \iota' = \cos \iota + 2(S/M^2)v^3(\sin^2 \theta - \cos^2 \iota)$.
- [10] K. S. Thorne, Rev. Mod. Phys. **52**, 299 (1980).

- [11] L. Blanchet and T. Damour, Phys. Lett. **104A**, 82 (1984).
- [12] K. S. Thorne and J. B. Hartle, Phys. Rev. D **31**, 1815 (1985).
- [13] Algebraic manipulations were performed with the aid of Mathematica [S. Wolfram, *Mathematica: A System for Doing Mathematics by Computer* (Addison-Wesley, Redwood City, California, 1988)].
- [14] M. Shibata, M. Sasaki, H. Tagoshi, and T. Tanaka, Kyoto University preprint KUNS 1268, Osaka University preprint OU-TAP-9 (1994). In the preprint, there is a typographical omission of a factor of 3 in their Eq. (3.18) (the qv^3y term), as compared to the correct Eq. (3.14).
- [15] L. E. Kidder, Washington University preprint WUGRAV 94-6 (1995). The $\hat{L}_N$ in his Eq. (4.11) has to be averaged (see [9]) over an orbit to agree with our Eq. (15b).
- [16] Other definitions of “inclination angle”, such as ι' at $\theta = \pi/2$ (see [9]), can lead to such angle decreasing with time.
- [17] F. D. Ryan, L. S. Finn, and K. S. Thorne, Phys. Rev. Lett., in preparation.